Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/003,110	SUZUKI ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	John Juba, Jr.	2872
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Ex</u>	Parte Quayle
(1) <u>John Juba, Jr.</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Updeep S. Gill.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 March 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1, 9, 10, 19, and 20		
Prior art documents discussed:		
(none)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
Tarrent .		
(Examiner/8PE Signature) (Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that claims 1, 9, 18, 19, and 20 distinguish over the prior art, but identified specific informalities in claims 1, 9, 10, 19, and 20. Discussed possible changes that would overcome the informalities. Applicants' representative will contact Applicants prior to approving any such changes.